

UNUSUAL FORMULAE FOR THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC

JUSTIN ROBERTS

*Department of Mathematics
 UC San Diego
 9500 Gilman Drive
 La Jolla CA 92093
 USA*

ABSTRACT

Everyone knows that the Euler characteristic of a combinatorial manifold is given by the alternating sum of its numbers of simplices. It is shown that there are other linear combinations of the numbers of simplices which are combinatorial invariants, but that all such invariants are multiples of the Euler characteristic.

Theorem [Pachner 1987, [3]]. Two closed combinatorial n -manifolds are PL -homeomorphic if and only if it is possible to move between their triangulations using a sequence of *Pachner moves* (bistellar moves) and simplicial isomorphisms.

The Pachner moves consist of replacing one part of the boundary of an $(n+1)$ -simplex by the other; specifically, one views the simplex as a join of simplices $A*B$, and replaces $\partial A*B$ with $A*\partial B$. Thus in an n -manifold there are only $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ different kinds of moves needed.

The natural inclination of the topologist on seeing this theorem is to look for quantities invariant under the Pachner moves so as to obtain invariants of combinatorial manifolds. The Turaev-Viro invariant [4] of 3-manifolds can be proved to be invariant using exactly this method, but the most obvious example is clearly the Euler characteristic, defined as the alternating sum of numbers of simplices. One can simply write down how the numbers of simplices alter under the Pachner moves and check invariance directly.

It is obvious that there are many *other* linear combinations of the functions $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$ (f_i being the number of i -simplices) which are combinatorial invariants, purely because invariance under the Pachner moves imposes only about $\frac{n}{2}$ conditions. What are these invariants?

Now in fact Pachner goes some way towards answering this question in his original paper. He observes that if one defines the h -vector $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n+1})$ of a

combinatorial manifold in the same way as one does for convex polytopes, where each h_i is a certain linear combination of the f_j 's and a constant term (see Ziegler [5] for the precise formulae), then the $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ quantities $h_i - h_{n+1-i}$ turn out to be invariants under the Pachner moves. Consequently one can write an explicit set of linear combinations of the f_i which in fact spans the space of invariants ($\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 + \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor = n + 1$).

However, he gives no hint as to what these invariants actually are, though it should be clear to any topologist that they will be expressible in terms of classically known invariants, by the “no free lunch” principle. (On the evidence of how many there seem to be, one might hope that they are the Betti numbers.) The only evaluation he mentions is that for any combinatorial n -sphere, the $h_i - h_{n+1-i}$ are all zero: these identities are the classical *Dehn-Sommerville equations*, giving constraints on the numbers of simplices in the boundary of a convex polytope.

Let us now think about the properties of such invariants. Any linear combination v of the f_i which is an invariant of combinatorial n -manifolds will obviously be multiplicative under finite coverings, and additive in the same sense as the Euler characteristic (the “valuation” property $v(A \cup B) = v(A) + v(B) - v(A \cap B)$). This is why the invariants can't be Betti numbers, and why it's difficult to imagine that they could be anything other than the Euler characteristic, as in fact turns out to be the case.

Theorem. Any linear combination v of the numbers of simplices which is an invariant of closed combinatorial n -manifolds is proportional to the Euler characteristic. (Thus in odd dimensions it is identically zero, and in even dimensions the constant of proportionality is $\frac{1}{2}v(S^n)$.)

After finding a nice short proof of this theorem I then trawled the literature, certain that it must be known. In fact I could not find any explicit description of this fact. The literature on polytopes seems to concentrate on the Dehn-Sommerville equations for combinatorial spheres, without addressing arbitrary combinatorial manifolds. Eventually I discovered MacDonald [2], who proves a theorem amounting to the Dehn-Sommerville equations on a general combinatorial manifold which indeed implies the above result. The topological part of the proof is very short, but to get to the above result one then has to use the fact that the $h_i - h_{n+1-i}$ invariants span the space under consideration, which requires a bit of work with binomial coefficients. So here is an alternative.

Proof. Assume we have a linear combination v of the f_i which is an invariant for closed combinatorial n -manifolds. It will not be invariant for n -manifolds with boundary, but the modified version $\tilde{v}(M) = v(M) - \frac{1}{2}v(\partial M) = \frac{1}{2}v(DM)$, where DM is the double of M , clearly will be.

Given a closed combinatorial n -manifold M , let us compute $\tilde{v}(M) = v(M)$ by taking a combinatorial handle decomposition of M and examining how $\tilde{v}$ changes as we add handles one at a time. (Such handle decompositions always exist: take

regular neighbourhoods of the barycentres of the simplices of M . See Lickorish [1] for an extensive discussion of this kind of handlebody theory.)

Each 0-handle contributes $\tilde{v}(B^n)$, whatever its triangulation. Suppose now that we attach an i -handle H to an existing manifold-with-boundary N , and that the boundary of H is decomposed as $\partial_- H \cup_C \partial_+ H$, with $\partial_- H$ the part glued to N and C standing for “corner”. It is obvious that

$$v(\partial H) = v(\partial_- H) + v(\partial_+ H) - v(C)$$

and thus that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}(N \cup H) &= v(N \cup H) - \frac{1}{2}v(\partial(N \cup H)) \\ &= (v(N) + v(H) - v(\partial_- H)) - \frac{1}{2}(v(\partial N) - v(\partial_- H) + v(\partial_+ H)) \\ &= \tilde{v}(N) + \tilde{v}(B^n) - \frac{1}{2}v(C). \end{aligned}$$

The same computation for the double of the handle H along $\partial_- H$ shows that

$$\tilde{v}(S^i \times B^{n-i}) = \tilde{v}(B^n) + \tilde{v}(B^n) - \frac{1}{2}v(C).$$

Consequently the addition of an i -handle alters $\tilde{v}(N)$ by the fixed quantity $\tilde{v}(S^i \times B^{n-i}) - \tilde{v}(B^n)$, independently of how the handle is attached, and we see that $\tilde{v}(M)$ must be a linear combination of the numbers of i -handles m_i . Because it is a combinatorial invariant, it must be invariant under addition of a cancelling handle pair in any dimension; therefore the coefficients of m_i, m_{i+1} must be equal and opposite for all i , so that v is proportional to the Euler characteristic. $\square$

One can interpret this as giving universal relations between the numbers of simplices in combinatorial manifolds. In an n -manifold one always has the relation $(n+1)f_n - 2f_{n-1} = 0$, and the above theorem says essentially that there are lots of other linear constraints of this type.

It is easy to check that for n odd, the Pachner moves actually do impose $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ independent conditions, so that there are $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ independent invariant linear combinations of the f_i , including the Euler characteristic. These all evaluate to zero, so can be treated as constraints.

In even dimensions, the Pachner moves impose $\frac{n}{2}$ independent conditions, so that there is an $\frac{n}{2}$ -dimensional space of invariant linear combinations. Each is a multiple of the Euler characteristic, and the constant of proportionality defines a surjective linear map to $\mathbb{R}$, whose kernel is an $(\frac{n}{2} - 1)$ -dimensional space of universal constraints.

Acknowledgements

Partial support provided by NSF grant number DMS-0103922.

References

- [1] W. B. R. Lickorish, *Piecewise linear manifolds and Cerf theory*, in *Geometric Topology (Athens GA (1993))*, ed. W. H. Kazez, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics **2.1** (1997) 375-387.
- [2] I. G. MacDonald, *Polynomials associated with finite cell-complexes*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1971) 181-192.
- [3] U. Pachner, *Konstruktionsmethoden und das kombinatorische Homöomorphieproblem für Triangulationen kompakter semilinearer Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **57** (1986) 69-85.
- [4] V. G. Turaev, O. Y. Viro. *State-sum invariants of 3-manifolds and quantum 6j-symbols*, Topology **31** (1992) 865-902.
- [5] G. M. Ziegler. *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **152**, Springer, Berlin (1995).